# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

#### Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/NLG/LST-21/HYD Mine code : 38APR14020

(i) Name of the Inspecting : PR09 ) M.Pratap.Reddy

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Geologis

(iii) Accompaning mine : V.RAMACHANDRAM MINES MANAGER

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 10/03/2017
(v) Prev.inspection date : 05/03/2014

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : SRI VENKATESWARA

(b) Registration NO. :

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(c)} & \text{Category} & : & \text{A Manual} \\ \text{(d)} & \text{Type of Working} & : & \\ & & \text{Opencast} \end{array}$ 

(e) Postal address

State : TELANGANA
District : NALGONDA

Village : CHINTALAPALEM Taluka : MELLACHERVU

Post office :

Pin Code : 508246

FAX No. : 040-23353093

E-mail : info@anjanicement.com

Phone : 04023353096

(f) Police Station : JAGGAIAHPET
(g) First opening date : 24/12/1993

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : CHINTAPALEM VILLAGE correspondance MELLACHERVU MANDAL

NALGONDA DISTRICT

3. (a) Lease Number : APR0025

(b) Lease area
(c) Period of lease
: .69
: 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 21/05/2005

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/S ANJANI PORTLAND CEMENT LTD

SITHA NILAYAM 153 DWARAKAPURI COLONY PUNJAGUTTA HYDERABAD

TELANGANA
Phone:3353093
FAX:40-3353093

Owner : K.VISHNU RAJU

M/S. ANJANI PORTLAND CEMENT LTD. SUTA NILAYAM, 153,

DARAKAPUERI COLO

PUNJAGUTTA, HYDERABAD. NALGONDA TELANGANA

Phone: FAX:

Agent : S.N.RAJU

CHINTHAPALEM VILLAGE GUDIMALKAPURAM NALGONDA DIST. NALGONDA TELANGANA

Phone: 08683-230160 FAX : 08683-230024

Manager

Name : V.RAMACHANDRAM
Qualification : DIPLOM IN MINING

Appointment/ : 05/06/2007

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 23/12/2009

Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	11 Bore holes been proposed	The proposed Boreholes have been drilled.	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	G1 scale	G1 scale exploration has been done.	3
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Lessee company itself. Rs. 0.84 Millions	Lessee company itself carried out exploration. The expense incurred is Rs.12,17,250/-	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	About 10 ha	About 10 ha	
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	1,23,68,000 T	1,30,79,500 T	The balance reserve is on heigher side as the anticipated production has not been achieved.
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc	Simple bedded depost with G1 scale of exploration.	Simple sub horizental bedded deposit 70% of the area has been explored to the G1 scale of exploration.	2

## Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	No valid proposals exists	No mining activity taken place for want of Environmental clearence.	The year 2013-14 to 2015-16 has been treated as a lapsed period. Violation of Rule 28(1) MCDR 2017, regarding discontinuance and Form-E has been pointed out.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	proposals	-	No mining activity took place during the Year 2015-16 for want of EC
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	No mining activity took place for want of EC	-	

2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	No valid proposals exists.	Nil
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	No valid proposals exists.	Nil
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	No valid proposals exists.	It is simple sedimentary subhorizenral bedded deposit. No development could take place for wnt of EC.

## Exploitation:

	Item	Dropagala	Actual work	Remarks
Sl.No.	rtem	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	No valid proposals exists.	A single pit exists.	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	No valid proposals exists.	Nil	
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	No valid proposals exists.	100% recovery.	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No valid proposals exists.	Nil	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	No valid proposals exists.	There is no generation of Mineral rejections. The Threshold value is 35% Cao content.	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	No valid proposals exists.	Nil	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	No valid proposals exists.	There is no generation of subgrade mineral.	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	No valid proposals exists.	_	

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No valid proposals exists.	There is no subgrade mineral generation.
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	No valid proposals exists.	Provision for drilling and blasting in mineral benches has been done.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	No valid proposals exists.	Provision has been made for the moment of mining machinary.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	No valid proposals exists.	The Bench heights have been maintained as per the method of proposed mining in SOM.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	No valid proposals exists.	3.446 ha
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	No valid proposals exists.	-
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	No valid proposals exists.	4.82 ha
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	T, 2012- 13=30,532 T,	2011-12=3,700 T, 2012- 13=5,500 T, 2013- 14=23,900 T., 2014-15= 15,415 T, & 2015-16- Nil
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.	No valid proposals exists.	Mechanised method of opencast mining is practiced.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
51.110.	I Celli	FIOPASAIS	Accual Work	Kemarks

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	No valid proposals exists.	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	No valid proposals exists.	No OB and top soil exists.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	No valid proposals exists.	Nil
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	No valid proposals exists.	No waste dump exists.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.		Nil
4f	Number of dead dumps.	No valid proposals exists.	Nil
4g	Number of dumps established.	No valid proposals exists.	Nil
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	No valid proposals exists.	_
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	No valid proposals exists.	_
4j	Number of settling ponds	No valid proposals exists.	_
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	No valid proposals exists.	There exists no waste dump.

## Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

SI.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks	0.1 17				_ ,
21.110. Topasars Houar Well House	SI.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No valid proposals exists.	This does not arise at this stage as the mineral is continuing at depth.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area		Nil
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)		No top soil exists in the mine.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No valid proposals exists.	Nil
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		The ML area is yet to mature for back filling and reclamation.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	No valid proposals exists.	-	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No valid proposals exists.	Nil	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	No valid proposals exists.	-	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	No valid proposals exists.	Nil	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	No valid proposals exists.	250 saplings exists	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	No valid proposals exists.	None	

6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	No valid proposals exists.	About Rs. 50,000/-
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No valid proposals exists.	None
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	No valid proposals exists.	None
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No valid proposals exists.	None
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No valid proposals exists.	None
бm	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	No valid proposals exists.	None
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	No valid proposals exists.	None
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	No valid proposals exists.	No valid proposals exists.
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	No valid proposals exists.	_

6q General remarks No valid of inspecting proposals officers on PMCP exists. compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

#### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	No valid proposals exists.	Nil	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	No valid proposals exists.	-	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	No valid proposals exists.	None	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	No valid proposals exists.	None	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	No valid proposals exists.	_	Except sizing of the Limestone, no beneficiation is done.

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	proposals	There is no top soil exists.	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No valid proposals exists.	-	

8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and	No valid proposals exists.	No OB and mineral rejects exists.		
8d	fines (Rule 33) Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No valid proposals exists.			
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No valid proposals exists.			
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	No valid proposals exists.	Besides thorny bushes there exists, 250 saplings exists in the ML area		
8g	Survival rate	No valid proposals exists.	80%		
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	proposals	-		
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	No valid proposals exists.	The affirestation done in the ML area ads aesthitic beauty to in and around the ML area.		
Comp	Compliance of Rule 45:				

Actual work

Remarks

Propasals

Sl.No.

Item

9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly return shall be submitted before the 10th of every month in respect of preceding month. and annual return which shall be submitted before the 1st July each year for the preceding Financial year.	Annual and Monthly returns have been submitted with in the stipulated time.
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Details to be furnished.	Employment details have been furnished.
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.		Land use details have been furnished.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Details to be furnished.	Afforestation details have been furnished( with in ML 1050 & out side ML 800 saplings)
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Details to be furnished.	Details furnished to be 'nil' since there is no mineral rejection.
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore		The Opening and closing stock furnished as zero.
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Details to be furnished.	Ex-mine price cum production is frunished as Rs190/-
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		Value of Fixed assets is Rs.77,84,20,000/-

9k Scrutiny of Details to be Mining machinery deails Annual return on furnished. have been furnished.

mining machineries

PAGE : 13

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out						
Viola	tion observed	Show couse position				
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on			

Date : (M.Pratap.Reddy)

Indian Bureau of Mines